TRAITÉ DE COOPÉRATION EN MATIÈRE DE BREVETS

PCT

RAPPORT PRÉLIMINAIRE INTERNATIONAL SUR LA BREVETABILITÉ

(chapitre I du Traité de coopération en matière de brevets)

(règle 44bis du PCT)

Voir le point 4 ci-dessous

POUR SUITE À DONNER

01/	inde internationale no. FR2004/001480	Date du dépôt international (jour/mois/année) 14 June 2004 (14.06.2004)	Date de priorité (jour/mois/année) 16 June 2003 (16.06.2003)			
	ification internationale des brev es informations pertinentes dan	rets (8 ^e edition, sauf indication d'une #dition ant#rieus le formulaire PCT/ISA/237	ure)			
	sant AULT S.A.S					
EINA	AULI S.A.S					
1.	Le présent rapport préliminai l'administration chargée de la	tabli par le Bureau international au nom de				
2.	Ce RAPPORT comprend un total de 6 feuilles, y compris la présente feuille de couverture.					
	Dans les feuilles jointes, toute entendue, à la place, comme t	e référence à l'opinion écrite de l'administration cha une référence au rapport préliminaire international s	argée de la recherche internationale doit être eur la brevetabilité (chapitre I).			
3.	Le présent rapport contient des indications relatives aux points suivants :					
	Cadre n° I	Base de l'opinion				
	Cadre nº II	Priorité				
	Cadre n° III	Absence de formulation d'opinion quant à la d'application industrielle	nouveauté, l'activité inventive et la possibilité			
	Cadre n° IV	Absence d'unité de l'invention				
	Cadre n° V	Déclaration motivée selon l'article 35.2) quan possibilité d'application industrielle; citations	nt à la nouveauté, l'activité inventive et la et explications à l'appui de cette déclaration			
	Cadre n° VI	Certains documents cités				
	Cadre n° VI Cadre n° VII	Certains documents cités Certaines irrégularités relevées dans la deman	de internationale			

Date d'établissement du présent rapport 22 May 2006 (22.05.2006) Fonctionnaire autorisé Bureau international de l'OMPI 34, chemin des Colombettes Athina Nickitas-Etienne 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland no de télécopieur +41 22 740 14 35 no de téléphone : +41 22 338 89 95

Formulaire PCT/IB/373 (janvier 2004)

Référence du dossier du déposant ou

du mandataire 03-07187/YK

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

TRANSLATION From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) See form PCT/ISA/210 Date of mailing (day/month/year) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION 03-07187/YK See paragraph 2 below International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (duy/month/year) PCT/FR2004/001480 14.06.2004 16.06.2003 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC H01M8/02, H01L35/28, H01M8/04 Applicant RENAULT S.A.S This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application FURTHER ACTION 2. If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the ISA/EP Authorized officer Facsimile No. Telephone No.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/FR2004/001480

Box	x No. I	Basis of this opinion
1.	With	n regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was , unless otherwise indicated under this item.
		This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under
	-	Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.		n regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed ntion, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a .	type of material
		a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	ъ.	format of material
	1	in written format
	ļ	in computer readable form
	c.	time of filing/furnishing
	!	contained in the international application as filed.
	!	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
	!	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.		In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4.	A ddi!	tional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/FR2004/001480

Box No. V		Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement			
1.	Statement				
;	Novelty	ty (N) Claims 1-9	YES		
l		Claims			
	Inventiv	ive step (IS) Claims 5, 7	YES		
		Claims 1-4, 6, 8, 9	NO NO		
	Industri	rial applicability (IA) Claims 1-9	YES		
		Claims			
2.	Citations ar	and explanations:			
	1.	Reference is made to the following docum	nant.		
			ienc.		
		D1: PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN, vol. 2002	2. no. 09,		
		4 September 2002 (2002-09-04) & JP 2	•		
		A (SONY CORP) 17 May 2002 (2002-05-1			
		-	,,,		
		The machine translation of this document	., as		
		provided by the Japanese Patent Office,			
	2.1	Document D1, which is considered to be to	he most		
		relevant prior art, describes (the refer	ences		
		between parentheses apply to said docume:	nt):		
		- a basic cell for a fuel cell, includ	ing a		
		thermoelectric element that consists	of N-type		
		and P-type semiconductor materials ($\mathfrak p$	paragraphs		
		17-29) and is positioned between the	flow field		
		plate on the oxygen electrode side ar	nd the		
		cooling plate.			
		The embiect metter of independent elein			
		The subject matter of independent claim i			
		from the above in that the thermoelectric	c elements		

are positioned between two basic cells.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/FR2004/001480

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

It follows that the subject matter of claim 1 is novel (PCT Article 33(2)).

The problem that the present invention is intended to solve can be considered to be that of:

 recovering the heat energy generated by the fuel cell as electrical energy.

The solution to this problem, as proposed in claim 1 of the present application, is not considered to involve an inventive step (PCT Article 33(3)) because it would be obvious for a person skilled in the art to position the thermoelectric elements between a cold source and a hot source either inside a basic cell in a fuel cell or between two basic cells in a fuel cell.

Dependent claims 2, 3, 4 and 6 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any one of the claims to which they refer, might define subject matter that fulfils the PCT requirements of novelty and/or inventive step (see document D1 and the corresponding passages cited in the search report).

The combination of features in claims 5 and 7 is not found in the prior art and cannot be derived in an obvious manner therefrom, for the following reasons:

On the basis of D1, it would not be obvious to use molybdenum electrodes as the conductive connections in the thermoelectric modules or even

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement to position a plate provided with flanges on the outside surface of said thermoelectric modules. 3.1 Document D1, which is considered to be the most relevant prior art, describes (the references between parentheses apply to said document): a method for recovering the heat energy from a fuel cell using the Seebeck effect by positioning a thermoelectric element between a gas flow field plate and a cooling plate. The subject matter of independent claim 8 differs from the above in that the thermoelectric element is positioned between two basic cells. It follows that the subject matter of claim 8 is novel (PCT Article 33(2)). The arguments set out above with regard to the inventiveness of claim 1 apply mutatis mutandis to the subject matter of the corresponding independent claim 8, which is not inventive. 3.2 Dependent claim 9 does not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any one of the claims to which it refers, might define subject matter that fulfils the PCT requirements of novelty and/or inventive step (see document D1 and the corresponding passages cited in the search

report).